

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
09/730,691	12/06/2000	John Edward Herrmann	EN11021	9209
7590 10/05/2004			EXAMINER	
Motorola Energy Systems Group Intellectual Property Department			JACKSON, STEPHEN W	
1700 Belle Meade Court			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Lawrenceville, GA 30043			2836	

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/730,691	HERRMANN, JOH	HERRMANN, JOHN EDWARD			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen W Jackson	2836	A			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely THS from the mailing date of this co ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>8</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 December 2000</u> is	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Exam	niner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		•	` .			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in A	pplication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	ority documents have been	received in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bure						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date	152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12-6-00	6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTC —·	J-192)			

Application/Control Number: 09/730,691

Art Unit: 2836

Claim Objections

Claim 8 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

Claim 8 depends from itself (claim 8).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Potega.

Potega teaches a power supply that detects the power requirements of an electrical device and configures itself to provide the correct power to the device. A switch used with the connector creates various circuits and is controllable by the power

Application/Control Number: 09/730,691 Page 3

Art Unit: 2836

supply, the electrical device, by signal from the electrical device or by a third device.

The device taught by Potega differs from the claims only by not being said to have a memory device in the cable assembly.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the teachings of Potega to meet the claims, because the teachings have memory devices connected to the interface between the battery 53 and the device to be powered, with one of the memory devices shown to be connected to cable elements 34-39.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen W Jackson whose telephone number is 571-272-2051. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am-3:00pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on 571-272-2800 x36. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). SWJackson September 27, 2004

Kephen Wackson 9.27.04

STEPHEN W. JACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER